

Comparative analysis of multispectral sensors (MicaSense RedEdge-MX, FLIR Vue Pro R, Parrot Sequoia) and image processing for crop health assessment

Alisa MAȘNIC, Arina MOISEI

<https://doi.org/10.1109/DAS69882.2026.11553316>

Abstract

Multispectral images captured by drones represent a crucial source of information for assessing plant health, chlorophyll content, water stress, and crop productivity in precision agriculture. The quality of these data is closely linked to the performance of the multispectral sensors employed, including parameters such as spectral sensitivity, radiometric calibration, spatial resolution, and stability under variable lighting conditions. Data obtained from these sensors are processed using vegetation indices, which provide clear insights into plant condition and vigor. The objective of this project is to conduct a comparative evaluation of the MicaSense RedEdge-MX, FLIR Vue Pro R, and Parrot Sequoia sensors in collecting data over the same agricultural plots, as well as to calibrate the images to produce radiometrically and spectrally standardized datasets, enabling the automation of crop monitoring processes.

Keywords: agriculture; image calibration; multispectral sensors; vegetation index

References:

1. L. Geunsang, H. Jeewook and C. Sangho, "A Novel Index to Detect Vegetation in Urban Areas Using UAV-Based Multispectral Images," in *Applied Sciences*, 2021, vol. 11, p. 3472, DOI: 10.3390/app11083472. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350866687_A_Novel_Index_to_Detect_Vegetation_in_Urban_Areas_Using_UAV-Based_Multispectral_Images
[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)
2. D. J. Mulla, "Twenty five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: Key advances and remaining knowledge gaps," in *Biosystems engineering*, vol. 114, pp. 358 - 371, April 2013, DOI: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2012.08.009.
[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)
3. M. Franzini, G. Ronchetti, G. Sonna and V. Casella, "Geometric and Radiometric Consistency of Parrot Sequoia Multispectral Imagery for Precision Agriculture

18th International Conference on Development and Application
Systems (DAS)

21-23 May 2026, Suceava, Romania, ISBN 979-8-3315-8387-3

Applications.” in *Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, p. 5314, December 2019, DOI: 10.3390/app9245314.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

4. V. Tîrșu, A. Mașnic and N. Manin, “Comparison of multispectral sensors (micasense, parrot sequoia, sentera) and development of a calibrated image database”, pp. 202 - 209, February 2026, DOI: 10.59295/tehfizuv25.29.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

5. F. H. Holman, A. B. Riche, M. Castle, M. J. Wooster and M. J. Hawkesford, “Radiometric Calibration of ‘Commercial Off the Shelf’ UAV Imagery,” in *Remote Sensing*, vol. 11, no. 14, p. 1657, July 2019, DOI: 10.3390/rs11141657. [Online]. Available:

<https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/11/14/1657MDPI>

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

6. H. Zhu, Y. Huang, Z. An and H. Zhang, “Assessing radiometric calibration methods for multispectral UAV-based remote sensing for precision agriculture: a comparison between different cameras,” in *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 219, p. 108821, April 2024, DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2024.108821.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

7. Eder E. da Silva, Fábio Henrique Rojo Baio, Larissa Pereira Ribeiro Teodoro and Carlos Antonio Da Silva Junior, “UAV-multispectral and vegetation indices in soybean grain yield prediction based on in situ observation” in *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, vol. 18, p. 100318, April 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100318.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

8. A. Moisei, “Hyperspectral imaging for monitoring agricultural crop imagery captured with drones,” in The Technical Scientific Conference of Undergraduate, Master and PhD Students, 14-16 May 2025, vol. 1, pp. 75 - 80, 2025, ISBN 978-9975-64-612-3.

[Google Scholar](#)

9. B. Matsushita, W. Yang, J. Chen and Y. Onda, “Sensitivity of the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) to Topographic Effects: A Case Study in High-density Cypress Forest,” in *Sensors*, vol. 7, pp. 2636 - 2651, November 2007, DOI: 10.3390/s7112636.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

10. A.R Huete, “A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI),” in *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 295 - 309, 1988, DOI: 10.1016/0034-4257(88)90106-X, [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257\(88\)90106-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(88)90106-X).

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

11. S. Gregory Okin and Juan Gu, “The impact of atmospheric conditions and instrument noise on atmospheric correction and spectral mixture analysis of multispectral imagery,” in *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 164, pp. 130 - 141, July 2015, DOI: 10.1016/j.rse.2015.03.032.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

12. S. Pokorni, “Error Analysis of Surface Temperature Measurement by Infrared Sensor,” in *International Journal of Infrared and Millimeter Waves*, vol. 25, pp. 1523 - 1533, October 2004.

18th International Conference on Development and Application
Systems (DAS)

21-23 May 2026, Suceava, Romania, ISBN 979-8-3315-8387-3

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)

13. A. Huete, K. Didan, T. Miura and E. P. Rodriguez, "Overview of the Radiometric and Biophysical Performance of the MODIS Vegetation Indices," in *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 83, pp. 195 - 213, October 2002, DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00096-2.

[CrossRef Google Scholar](#)