

Assessment for Learning in Informatics education: The CAIR framework and a STEAM-based application

Maria GUTU

Technical University of Moldova, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
maria.gutu.md@gmail.com

Abstract: *Competency-based Informatics education requires assessment practices that support iterative learning, problem-solving, and learner self-regulation; however, assessment often remains predominantly summative and weakly integrated with instruction. This paper presents a CAIR-oriented approach to operationalising Assessment for Learning in upper secondary Informatics education. Rather than introducing additional assessment instruments, the CAIR framework embeds formative assessment directly into instructional design by aligning learning tasks, feedback, and reflection with Informatics-specific competencies. Using a design-based, illustrative perspective, the study examines an authentic project-based learning scenario involving C/C++ programming and microcontroller-controlled smart systems. A parking system with a servo-controlled barrier is analysed to demonstrate how assessment evidence emerges through iterative cycles of design, testing, debugging, and reflection. The analysis highlights CAIR's capacity to support coherent alignment among competencies, learning activities, and formative assessment while remaining adaptable to practical classroom constraints.*

Keywords: Assessment for Learning, Informatics education, Competency-based assessment, CAIR framework, Project-based learning.

Acknowledgement: The activities described in this paper were conducted within the project “*STEAMing Across Borders: Empowering Young Women from the Republic of Moldova in Science*”, supported by the European Union through the initiative “*EVOLVE4GE: Ending Violence, Expanding Livelihood Opportunities for Women and Accelerating Gender Governance in Moldova*”, led by UN Women Moldova. The project is implemented under Partnership Agreement No. PA006410MDA (April 2025 – October 2026).

REFERENCES

- Adler, I., Warren, S., Norris, C. & Soloway, E. (2025) Leveraging opportunities for self-regulated learning in smart learning environments. *Smart Learning Environments*. 12 (1), 6. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00359-w>.
- Arnold, J. & Willis, J. (2024) From fragmentation to coherence: student experience of assessment for learning. *The Australian Educational Researcher*. 51(5), 1849–1875. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-023-00668-y>.
- Azevedo, R. (2020) Reflections on the field of metacognition: issues, challenges, and opportunities. *Metacognition and Learning*. 15(2), 91–98. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-020-09231-x>.
- Burlacu, N. & Irimiciuc, S. D. (2018) Validarea conceptului STE(A)M din perspectiva modelelor ecosistemice de învățare [Validation of the STE(A)M Concept from the Perspective of Ecosystemic Learning Models]. *Materialele Conferinței Naționale de Învățământ Virtual, CNIV 2018. Ediția XV*. pp. 120-126. Romania, Bucharest, Oct 2018, Publishing house of the University of Bucharest. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365236602_Validarea_conceptului_STEAM_din_perspectiva_modelelor_ecosistemice_de_invatare [Accessed: 10th January 2026].
- Burlacu, N. (2021) Aspects of assessment of students’ activity in the seminar classes: problems and solutions. *Journal of Social Sciences*. IV(4), 63–74. doi: [https://doi.org/10.52326/jss.utm.2021.4\(4\).07](https://doi.org/10.52326/jss.utm.2021.4(4).07).
- Cambridge Assessment International Education (n.d.) *What is assessment for learning?* <https://cambridge-community.org.uk/professional-development/gswafl/index.html> [Accessed: 5th January 2026]
- Carless, D. (2017) Scaling Up Assessment for Learning: Progress and Prospects. In: D. Carless, S.M. Bridges, C.K.Y. Chan, & R. Glofcheski (eds.). *Scaling up Assessment for Learning in Higher Education*. The Enabling Power of Assessment. Singapore, Springer Singapore. pp. 3–17. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3045-1_1.
- Cheng, Y.-P. & Huang, Y.-M. (2025) LUPDA: a comprehensive rubrics-based assessment model for STEAM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*. 12(1), 45. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-025-00570-2>.

Filgona, J., Sakiyo, J., Gwany, D.M. & Okoronka, A.U. (2020) Motivation in Learning. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*. 16–37. doi: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2020/v10i430273>.

Gutu, M. (2022) Improving the Informatics Competencies Through Assessment for Learning. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on "Electronics, Communications and Computing"*. December 2022 Technical University of Moldova. pp. 300–305. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52326/ic-ecco.2022/KBS.04>.

Gutu, M. (2023) Metacognition and self-assessment in informatics classes: exploring the impact of assessment criteria, motivation, and task complexity. In: *Proceedings of The 18th International Conference on Virtual Learning*. 19 October 2023 ICI Publishing House Bucharest. pp. 243–261. doi: <https://doi.org/10.58503/icvl-v18y202321>.

Gutu, M. (2025a) Advancing Informatics Competency Assessment: Emerging Trends and Educational Implications. In: *EDULEARN25 Proceedings*. 30 July 2025 Palma, Spain, IATED. pp. 9747–9754. doi: <https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2025>.

Gutu, M. (2025b) Flipping the grade: Empowering students through self assessment in informatics. In: *Proceedings of The 20th International Conference on Virtual Learning*. 9 April 2025 ICI Publishing House Bucharest. pp. 361–374. doi: <https://doi.org/10.58503/icvl-v20y202530>.

Gutu, M. (2025c) Reframing Informatics Competency Assessment: Insights from The C.A.I.R. Framework. In: *EDULEARN25 Proceedings*. 30 July 2025 Palma, Spain, IATED. pp. 9789–9795. doi: <https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2025>.

Hong, E. (2020) Metacognition. In: *Encyclopedia of Creativity*. Elsevier. pp. 140–145. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.23619-5>.

Khiat, H. & Vogel, S. (2022) A self-regulated learning management system: Enhancing performance, motivation and reflection in learning. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*. 19(2), 43–59. doi: <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.19.2.4>.

Ku, K.Y.L. & Ho, I.T. (2010) Metacognitive strategies that enhance critical thinking. *Metacognition and Learning*. 5(3), 251–267. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-010-9060-6>.

Revati, Dr. N. (2024) Integrating Constructivism, Science Education, and Technology: An Investigation into Pedagogical Strategies, Student Engagement, and Learning Outcomes in the Digital Age. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*. 12(12), 1021–1026. doi: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.65933>.

Wirth, J. & Leutner, D. (2008) Self-Regulated Learning as a Competence: Implications of Theoretical Models for Assessment Methods. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie / Journal of Psychology*. 216(2), 102–110. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1027/0044-3409.216.2.102>.